New Semester, New Look at Young **Parenthood**

Julia Guy newcomb@tulane.edu

View PDF

Title IX: Protections and Shortcomings for **Pregnant and Parenting Students**

Julia Guy | Newcomb College Institute Reproductive Rights Internship

About Title IX

One of the goals within the research project was to gauge the weaknesses with the application of Title IX to determine how can better ensure that students can affirm their rights.

Methods



Deficiencies

1. The Parenting Student Gap

Federal and stake education initiatives motivate schools to maintain stricter attendance policies in an attempt to raise standardized test scores appointments, which pregnant students are most tikely to use, are appointments, which pregnant students are most tikely to use, are appointments, which pregnant students are most tikely to use, are typically considered "excused" absences and therefore with not negatively impact a students" academic record, an absence for child care or for a child medical appointment is considered reviewseued; leaving parenting students the impossible choice between chronic truancy and parenting students the impossible choice between chronic truancy and parenting students after attendance policies additionally widen the gender gap, since fathering students are less likely to help take on parenting dutte, leaving mothering students at a greater disadvantage and even more tikely to drop out of school. To keep parenting students from fathing behind, policy makers have recommended washing minimum.

Possibilities for Success

To increase Title UCs enforcement of rights for pregnant and parenting students, taw and education requirements for teachers and administrations must be imposed to help schools comprehend the regulation and apprehend discriminatory practices (Gouph). To help implicate the app in accommodations for pregnant and parenting students, schools must implement flexible aftendance policies to include necessary childrane. In order to best guarantee implementation success experts recommend that the policies should be undestrood as already required by till the 10 to more completely fault the regulators protection requiring time, authoring, and debate (Flady).

References

Hady, Elizabeth M. (2014). THE ARSENCE OF PARENTING STUDENTS RIGHTS: HOW AND WHY TITLE (S) TOLERAYES DISCRAMINATIONY ATTENDANCE POLICIES. Cardoos Journal of Law & Garden. 31 Mil.

n-grant and Parenting Chaldestin Fights Toolston Visional Winner's Law Center 2016. https://doi.org/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10.1009/10 Gough Michelle R. (2011). Farenting and pregnant students: An evaluation of the implementation of the "other" Title IV. Michigan Journal of Center & Law, 17(2), 211-209.

Hello! My name is Julia Guy, and I am thrilled to be joining Newcomb College Institute's Reproductive Rights and Reproductive Health Internship program this spring! I am a sophomore studying political economy and environmental studies, and I hope to pursue a career within environmental advocacy and policy. Reproductive justice is additional passion of mine, so I am grateful that I have this opportunity outside of the classroom to advance RJ research while learning about

research skills, social justice advocacy, and the intersection of grassroots activism and legislative approaches.

This semester, I am continuing a project I began as a research assistant for Dr. Clare Daniel last fall in which I am collecting and categorizing distribution materials from grassroots campaigns aiming to eliminate the stigma surrounding young parenthood as well as shame tactics utilized in teen pregnancy prevention campaigns. Additionally, I am documenting court cases concerning Title IX rights abuses of pregnant and parenting students, and I am conducting a literature review of shameful teen pregnancy prevention campaigns and support systems (or lack thereof) for pregnant and parenting youth. I am looking forward to share some of this information in the Conceiving Equity poster session later in the semester (see my poster above!). This semester, we are adding research on the discrepancy within both reproductive justice and mainstream consciousness between comprehensive sexuality education and elimination of shameful narratives for young parents. Using "teen pregnancy prevention" as a benchmark for sex education not only reinforces the narrative of young parents as irresponsible and unworthy of support despite often large socioeconomic inequalities, but also undermines the greater goal of reproductive justice to have the power, freedom, and resources to make healthy decisions about our bodies, sexuality, and reproduction for ourselves, including the decision to become a parent.

While I greatly enjoy working with Dr. Daniel, developing my research skills, and building my policy knowledge, another aspect of this internship I really appreciate is the biweekly RRRH intern meetings that have allowed me to meet other students working on reproductive justice research and advocacy for a wide variety of organizations and professors. Not only is it a great way to facilitate group discussions around reproductive justice as both a framework for academics and a lens for current events, but these meetings have also helped me learn more about the advocacy and nonprofit world in New Orleans with guest speakers from organizations ranging from fair housing assurance to abortion funding. This internship is already proving to be a fantastic opportunity, and I am excited to see where the rest of the semester leads!